

LAW OFFICES OF
McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

NOV 14 2005

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200

VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817

TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376

E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SENDER'S E-MAIL: JHOWARD @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER†
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†
JAMES E. HOWARD†
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†

†MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

November 14, 2005

VIA FACSIMILE

To: Examiner Alexander Jamal
Group Art Unit: 2643
U.S.P.T.O.

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re: Enclosed Applicant's Interview Summary
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/669,847
Docket No.: FQ5-488

Dear Examiner Jamal:

Enclosed for filing is an Applicant's Interview Summary in response to the personal interview on October 12, 2005, for the above-referenced case.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,



James E. Howard

JEH/geb

Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 3

NOV 14 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**In re Application of**

Yoichi Okano

Serial No.: 09/669,847 **Group Art Unit:** 2643**Filed:** September 27, 2000 **Examiner:** Alexander Jamal**For:** TELEPHONE APPARATUS AND ALERT METHOD FOR REMINDER TO
PLACE A CALLHonorable Commissioner of Patents
Box AF
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450**APPLICANT'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant appreciates the courtesies extended to Applicant's representative during a personal interview on October 12, 2005.

During the personal interview:

1. No exhibit was shown and no demonstration was conducted.
2. Independent claims 1, 8, 11, 18-21, 23, and 25-27 were discussed.
3. The Nazanin et al. reference, the Smith reference, and the Groff reference were discussed.
4. The clarifying amendments made to claims 1, 8, 11, 18-21, 23, and 25-27 were discussed.
5. During the personal interview, the Applicant's representative explained that none of the applied references teaches or suggests the features of the present invention including: 1) calculating an amount of time that has elapsed since the last-communication time, (claims 1, 8, 11, 21, and 23) and 2) comparing an amount of time that has elapsed since a last-communication time to a predetermined time interval (claims 1, 8, 11, 18-21, 23, and 25-27).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

09/669,847
DOCKET NO. FQ5-488

2

6. No other pertinent matters were discussed.

7. Results of the Interview:

The Examiner agreed to further consider Applicant's arguments presented during the personal interview, to review Applicant's response to the Office Action, and to conduct further search and consideration in order to determine patentability

Should the Examiner find the Application to be other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees or to credit any overpayment in fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date:

11/14/05


James E. Howard
Registration No. 39,715

**MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
LAW GROUP, PLLC**

8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200
Vienna, Virginia 22182-3817
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that I am filing this Applicant's Interview Summary by facsimile with the United States Patent and Trademark Office to Examiner Alexander Jamal, Group Art Unit 2643 at fax number (571) 273-8300 this 14th day of November, 2005.



James E. Howard, Esq.
Registration No. 39,715